

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,536	09/08/2003	Piotr Przybylek	PRZYBYLEK-1 9876	
	7590 09/19/2007 LIAM COLLARD EXAMINER			
COLLARD & ROE, P.C.			MENDOZA, JUNIOR O	
	1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD ROSLYN, NY 11576		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/657,536	PRZYBYLEK, PIOTR
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Junior O. Mendoza	2609
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
′=	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 at</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowardlosed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6) ⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Application of the second of the seco	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)	•	
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 09/08/2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 2609

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The word "list" has been misspelled in the last sentence of claim 1, page 4 (line 18). Please note that the very same error is also present in the last sentence of the abstract located in page 1 (line 18). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U:S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Knudson et al (Pub. No 2006/0095937). Hereinafter referenced as Knudson.

Regarding claim 1, Knudson discloses a set-top box (34), paragraph [004] also exhibited on figure 1, which reads on "handling device (DK)". A database (36) disclosed on paragraph [0044], used by the set-top box to retain information, which reads on "storage (BOK)"; title information (152) disclosed on paragraph [0055], also shown in figure 3(c), which reads on "identifier". Moreover, Knudson discloses that each channel (service) listing will have a detailed information portion (510) about the listing where a

channel belongs to, as disclosed on paragraph [0070], which reads on "information about the assignment of the list". Additionally, Knudson discloses other characteristics where one of them is the display of ratings, shown in paragraph [0039], which reads on "displaying viewing ratings".

Regarding claim 2, Knudson discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition, Knudson discloses that the program guide redisplays the list of categories according to the frequency of selection of it, arranging them from the most frequently viewed to the less frequently used; shown in paragraph [0059] and exhibited on fig 6 (92), which reads on "using the total content of the display lists (after viewing ratings of each element), the content of separate lists is modified".

Regarding claim 4, Knudson discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition, Knudson discloses that each set-top box may be controlled by one or more remote controls with dedicated set of buttons that may be pressed by the user for directing on-screen navigation, paragraph [0047] which reads on "moving to the next list.... modification... is made by means of dedicated buttons of the remote control unit".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,536

Art Unit: 2609

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudson in view of Webster, James G; Phalen, Patria F; Lichty, Lawrence W. "Rating Analysis" (Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2000), chapter 2, pages 27-28. Hereinafter, referred as Webster.

Regarding claim 3, Knudson discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition, Knudson states that a typical characteristic for any program includes providing the rating of such to the users, which is revealed on paragraph [0039], which reads on "viewing ratings of the service". However, Knudson fails to disclose that such rating is produce by doing a percentage ratio; however the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art at the time of the invention to do such calculation, as taught by Webster.

In a similar field of endeavor Webster discloses a Rating Analysis. In addition, Webster discloses ratings being used to describe audience size. Moreover, Webster states that a rating is the percentage of households or people tuned to a particular channel, which is shown by the equation: ratings equals the households tuned to channel divided by the total TV households, shown in figure 2.1, page 28. The method Webster teaches is a technique to calculate the viewing ratings of a service.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Knudson by specifically providing a method to calculate the rating of a channel, as revealed by Webster, for the purpose of providing the users with accurate information about the ratings in a specific channel.

Art Unit: 2609

6. Claim 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudson in view of Goldman (PG Pub US 2002/0112239). Hereinafter referenced as Goldman.

Regarding claim 5, Knudson discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition, Knudson discloses that colors, labels or icons may be used to distinguished between different categories, which will be distinctly displayed to attract the user's attention, paragraph [0065]. Nevertheless, Knudson fails to disclose that the selected elements are assigned to graphical symbols. However, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art to have selected elements assigned to graphical symbols, as shown by Goldman.

In an analogous field of endeavor Goldman discloses: modifying an electronic program guide based on viewer statistics. In addition, Goldman discloses that the user may select a program corresponding to certain color or icon, which is used to indicate the number of spectators who are viewing the corresponding program at that moment, paragraph [0011].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Knudson by incorporating the rating feature, as taught by Goldman, into the graphical symbol used to differentiate between channels; for the purpose of providing further information to the viewer in order to help them determine what channel to watch.

7. Claim 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudson in view of Bennington et al (Pub No US 2004/0168188). Hereinafter referred as Bennington.

Regarding claim 7, Knudson discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition, Knudson discloses that the user may also add or remove categories from a list at any time; such attribute is shown on paragraph [0017], which reads on "adding the currently viewed service to the list", although Knudson fails to disclose what would happen if the channel was already a part of a list previously save in memory. However, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art to provide such feature, as taught by Bennington.

In a similar field of endeavor Bennington discloses an electronic television program guide schedule system and method. In addition, Bennington discloses that if a particular channel already appears in the viewer preference list, the user gets reminded that indeed such channel in a member of one of the user's list; on the other hand if it was not previously added to any list, the user gets the choice to add the channel to a preference list, shown in paragraph [0136]; which reads on "...in case the viewed service does not appear on any of the inactive preference lists, the service is added to the selected preference list".

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the same time the invention was made to modify Knudson by adding the feature that checks whether a channel is member of a preference list or not, as taught by Bennington; for

the purpose of providing a direct link for users to add channels as well as a reminder of whether the channel has been already added.

Regarding claim 8, Knudson discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 7), in addition, Knudson discloses that the program guide displays a list of categories, from which the user can actually choose a favorite programming category which would be placed on top of the lists, shown in paragraph [0058]. However, Knudson fails to disclose that there is a default list that can be on top of every other. Nevertheless, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art to provide a default list, as taught by Bennington.

In a similar field of endeavor Bennington discloses an electronic television program guide schedule system and method. In addition, Bennington discloses that the microcontroller on fig 1 defaults the order of the channels in numeric order; nonetheless the user has what Bennington calls a "Channel preference" submenu where the order of the channels can be modified to whatever the user desires.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the tie the invention was made to modify Knudson providing a default that can be modified by the user, as taught by Bennington, for the purpose of offering more freedom to the user at the time of organizing their favorite channels.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,536 Page 8

Art Unit: 2609

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

M. Scott Reichardt (Pub No US 2006/0053448) – customization of channel lists with graphical interaction for the user.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,536

Art Unit: 2609

Conclusion

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Junior O. Mendoza whose telephone number is 571-270-3573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8am - 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jefferey Harold can be reached on 571-272-7519. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000—

Juniør Mendoza

Examine ?

rt Unit 2609

JIVI August 13, 2007

JEFFEREY F. HAROLD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER